

20th IAA SYMPOSIUM ON HUMAN EXPLORATION OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM (A5)  
Human Exploration of Mars (2)

Author: Mr. John Connolly  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Johnson Space Center, United States

Ms. Michelle Rucker  
NASA, United States  
Ms. Tara Polsgrove  
NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, United States  
Mr. Kent Joosten  
Booz, Allen & Hamilton, United States  
Mr. Bret Drake  
The Aerospace Corporation, United States  
Dr. Stephen Hoffman  
The Aerospace Corporation, United States  
Ms. Alida Andrews  
The Aerospace Corporation, United States  
Dr. Nehemiah Williams  
NASA Johnson Space Center, United States

HUMAN MARS MISSION DESIGN: THE ULTIMATE SYSTEMS CHALLENGE

**Abstract**

A human mission to Mars will occur at some time in the coming decades. When it does, it will be the end result of a complex network of interconnected design choices, systems analyses, technical optimizations, and non-technical compromises. A human mission to Mars will extend the technologies, engineering design, and systems analysis to new limits, and may very well be the most complex undertaking in human history.

A human Mars mission can be illustrated as a large menu of design decisions, or as a large decision tree. Whatever the visualization tool, there are numerous design decisions required to assemble a human Mars mission, and many of these decisions interconnect with one another. This paper examines these many design decisions, and further details a number of design choices that are highly interwoven throughout the mission design. The large quantity of design variables, and the interconnectedness of many of the variables, results in a highly complex systems challenge, and the paper illustrates how a change in one design variable results in ripples (sometimes unintended) throughout many other facets of the design.

The paper concludes with a discussion of some mission design variables that can be addressed first, and those that have already been addressed as a result of ongoing NASA developments, or as a result of decisions outside the technical arena. It advocates the need for “reference design” that can be used as a point of comparison, and to illustrate the system-wide impacts as design variables change.