IAF/IAA SPACE LIFE SCIENCES SYMPOSIUM (A1) Medical Care for Humans in Space (3)

Author: Mrs. Liliia Marchenko Institute for Biomedical Problems, Russian Federation, golubajavoda@mail.ru

ASSESSMENT OF THE FUNCTIONAL STATE OF THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM IN VOLUNTEERS UNDER NOISE EXPOSURE

Abstract

The noise generated by life support systems is a factor that continuously affects the auditory system of astronauts. In addition to the damaging effect on the organ of hearing, noise also has a number of nonspecific effects, expressed in a symptom complex characteristic of asthenization of the body (M. Basner, 2014). Experimental exposure to broadband noise for 2 hours demonstrated a significant negative effect of noise on the functional state of the central nervous system in volunteers. Purpose of the study: to experimentally assess the functional state of the central nervous system in acoustically healthy volunteers after exposure to "white" noise. Materials and methods. The study involved 10 acoustically healthy male volunteers aged 26 - 43 years. Broadband "white" noise with an intensity of 85 dB and duration of 2 hours was chosen as an influencing factor. Assessment of the functional state of the central nervous system was carried out using registration of the electrical activity of the brain, registration of cognitive evoked potentials. The volume of random access memory (RAM) was assessed by the Luria method, which consists of 5-fold repetition at regular intervals of various sets of 10 unrelated words. The assessment of the functional state of the central nervous system was carried out before and immediately after the application of the noise of these characteristics. Results. When analyzing the dynamics, the indices of the absolute power of the EEG rhythms before exposure were (Mm, V^2) : theta 1 rhy thm 11.10.7; theta 2 rhy thm 12.90.7; alpharhy thm 26.41.9; beta 1 rhy thm 10.20.4; beta 2 rhy thm 3.40.1. After exposure of the state of the statetheta 1 rhy thm 9.90.6; theta 2 rhy thm 11.30.6; alpharhy thm 30.31.9; beta 1 rhy thm 11.60.4; beta 2 rhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpharhy thm 11.60.4; beta 2 rhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpharhy thm 11.60.4; beta 2 rhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpharhy thm 11.60.4; beta 2 rhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpharhy thm 11.60.4; beta 2 rhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpharhy thm 11.60.4; beta 2 rhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpharhy thm 5.10.3. According to the alpP3 component was noted. The latency indices (msec) were 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 161.78.3 in the background; after noise exposure 168.02.9. When analytical exposure 168.02.9. When an an analytical exposure 168.02.9. When an an analytical exposure 168.05.50.4; 70.3; 8.50.3; 90.3; 100.4. After exposure to noise, the following results were obtained, respectively: 60.5; 6.50.3; 7.50.2; 6.50.3; 7.50.2; 6.50.3; 7.50.2; 6.50.3; 7.50.2; 6.50.3; 7.50.2; 6.50.3; 7.50.2; 7.50.2; 7.50.2;wave activity a fter exposure to the specified noise indicates the predominance of the influence of the sympathetic division of the sympathe