

52nd IAF STUDENT CONFERENCE (E2)  
Interactive Presentations - 52nd IAF STUDENT CONFERENCE (IPB)

Author: Mr. David Reid  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. Zain Robson  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. Tom Snelling  
The University of Bristol, Queens Building, United Kingdom

Mr. LOUIS TIMPERLEY  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. George Burns  
The University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. Vilius Stonkus  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. James Hollingdale  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. Shivang Gangadia  
United Kingdom

Mr. Orlando Luscombe  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Mr. Thomas Hunter  
The University of Bristol, Queens Building, United Kingdom

Mr. David Exton  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Dr. Lucy Berthoud  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Prof. Karen Aplin  
University of Bristol, United Kingdom

Dr. Andrei Sarua  
The University of Bristol, United Kingdom

STRUCTURAL DESIGN, MODELLING AND TESTING OF A 2U CUBESAT THERMAL/VISUAL  
IMAGING PAYLOAD.

**Abstract**

Few detailed reports of AIT campaigns for CubeSats exist in the literature. The aim of this paper is to offer some insights into the test campaign of a student CubeSat payload together with some recommendations. PROVE Pathfinder is the University of Bristol's volcano imaging CubeSat payload. This 2U payload consists of a visual and a thermal infrared camera, and their power and control electronics. In April 2023, the team conducted an environmental testing campaign at the ESA CubeSat Support Facility in Belgium, under ESA's Fly Your Satellite Testing Opportunities scheme. This test campaign aimed to structurally qualify the payload to survive a nominal worst-case launch in accordance with relevant space standards.

This paper presents the structural modelling and test design employed for the payload. Through rigorous finite element modelling, the study calculates the anticipated structural response under a varying launch environment, thereby informing the test strategy.

The results of the testing campaign are presented. Challenges in mode identification within the response dynamics are acknowledged, stemming from proximity of frequency peaks meaning they are excited simultaneously. In addition to this, where possible, evidence-based reasons for frequency/amplitude shifts in modes are provided.

Furthermore, the paper offers recommendations for future vibration testing campaigns of CubeSat payloads, drawing upon lessons learned from this campaign. By describing the methodology and challenges encountered, it is hoped that this study helps other student teams who are contemplating qualification of CubeSat payloads.